

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

FILED IN CAMERA AND UNDER SEA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.

CASE NO. 807CR SQ7 - TQ6 MAP

GREGORY WEST

PLEA AGREEMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c), the United States of America, by Robert E. O'Neill, United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, and the defendant, Gregory West, and the attorney for the defendant, Dale R. Sisco, mutually agree as follows:

A. Particularized Terms

1. Count(s) Pleading To

The defendant shall enter a plea of guilty to Count One of the Information.

Count One charges the defendant with Conspiracy to commit health care fraud against the Florida Medicaid program, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349.

2. Maximum Penalties

Count One carries a maximum sentence of ten years imprisonment, a fine of \$250,000.00, a term of supervised release of three years, and a special assessment of \$50 per felony count for offenses committed prior to April 24, 1996, \$100 per felony count thereafter; for organizations the amounts are "\$200" and "\$400" respectively, said special assessment to be due on the date of sentencing. With respect to certain

Defendant's Initials

AF Approval _____

offenses, the Court shall order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense(s), and with respect to other offenses, the Court may order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense(s), or to the community, as set forth below.

3. Elements of the Offense(s)

The defendant acknowledges understanding the nature and elements of the offense(s) with which defendant has been charged and to which defendant is pleading guilty. The elements of Count One are:

First:

That two or more persons, in some way or manner, came to a mutual understanding to try to accomplish a common and

unlawful plan, as charged in the Information:

Second:

That the Defendant, knowing the unlawful purpose of the

plan, willfully joined in it;

Third:

That the object of the unlawful plan was to commit health care fraud by defrauding a health care benefit program, that is, the Florida Medicaid program, in connection with the delivery of or payment for health care benefits, items, or

services.

4. Indictment Waiver

Defendant will waive the right to be charged by way of Indictment before a federal grand jury.

5. No Further Charges

If the Court accepts this plea agreement, the United States Attorney's

Office for the Middle District of Florida agrees not to charge defendant with committing
any other federal criminal offenses known to the United States Attorney's Office at the
time of the execution of this agreement.

6. Mandatory Restitution to Victim of Offense of Conviction

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663A(a) and (b), defendant agrees to make full restitution to any victims of the health care fraud conspiracy, including the State of Florida's Agency For Health Care Administration ("AHCA").

7. Guidelines Sentence

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), the United States will recommend to the Court that the defendant be sentenced within the defendant's applicable guidelines range as determined by the Court pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, as adjusted by any departure the United States has agreed to recommend in this plea agreement. The parties understand that such a recommendation is not binding on the Court and that, if it is not accepted by this Court, neither the United States nor the defendant will be allowed to withdraw from the plea agreement, and the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw from the plea of guilty.

8. Acceptance of Responsibility - Three Levels

At the time of sentencing, and in the event that no adverse information is received suggesting such a recommendation to be unwarranted, the United States will to the Court that the defendant receive a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, pursuant to USSG §3E1.1(a). The defendant understands that this recommendation or request is not binding on the Court, and if not accepted by the Court, the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw from the plea.

Further, at the time of sentencing, if the defendant's offense level prior to operation of subsection (a) is level 16 or greater, and if the defendant complies with the provisions of USSG §3E1.1(b), the United States agrees to file a motion pursuant to Defendant's Initials

USSG §3E1.1(b) for a downward adjustment of one additional level. The defendant understands that the determination as to whether the defendant has qualified for a downward adjustment of a third level for acceptance of responsibility rests solely with the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, and the defendant agrees that the defendant cannot and will not challenge that determination, whether by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

9. Cooperation - Substantial Assistance to be Considered

Defendant agrees to cooperate fully with the United States in the investigation and prosecution of other persons, and to testify, subject to a prosecution for perjury or making a false statement, fully and truthfully before any federal court proceeding or federal grand jury in connection with the charges in this case and other matters, such cooperation to further include a full and complete disclosure of all relevant information, including production of any and all books, papers, documents, and other objects in defendant's possession or control, and to be reasonably available for interviews which the United States may require. If the cooperation is completed prior to sentencing, the government agrees to consider whether such cooperation qualifies as "substantial assistance" in accordance with the policy of the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, warranting the filing of a motion at the time of sentencing recommending (1) a downward departure from the applicable guideline range pursuant to USSG §5K1.1, or (2) the imposition of a sentence below a statutory minimum, if any, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(e), or (3) both. If the cooperation is completed subsequent to sentencing, the government agrees to consider whether such cooperation qualifies as "substantial assistance" in accordance with the policy of the Defendant's Initials

United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, warranting the filing of a motion for a reduction of sentence within one year of the imposition of sentence pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 35(b). In any case, the defendant understands that the determination as to whether "substantial assistance" has been provided or what type of motion related thereto will be filed, if any, rests solely with the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida, and the defendant agrees that defendant cannot and will not challenge that determination, whether by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.

10. Use of Information - Section 1B1.8

Pursuant to USSG §1B1.8(a), the United States agrees that no self-incriminating information which the defendant may provide during the course of defendant's cooperation and pursuant to this agreement shall be used in determining the applicable sentencing guideline range, subject to the restrictions and limitations set forth in USSG §1B1.8(b).

11. <u>Cooperation - Responsibilities of Parties</u>

- a. The government will make known to the Court and other relevant authorities the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation and any other mitigating circumstances indicative of the defendant's rehabilitative intent by assuming the fundamental civic duty of reporting crime. However, the defendant understands that the government can make no representation that the Court will impose a lesser sentence solely on account of, or in consideration of, such cooperation.

should the defendant fail to voluntarily and unreservedly disclose and provide full, complete, truthful, and honest knowledge, information, and cooperation regarding any of the matters noted herein, the following conditions shall apply:

- (1) The defendant may be prosecuted for any perjury or false declarations, if any, committed while testifying pursuant to this agreement, or for obstruction of justice.
- (2)The United States may prosecute the defendant for the charges which are to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement, if any, and may either seek reinstatement of or refile such charges and prosecute the defendant thereon in the event such charges have been dismissed pursuant to this agreement. With regard to such charges, if any, which have been dismissed, the defendant, being fully aware of the nature of all such charges now pending in the instant case, and being further aware of defendant's rights, as to all felony charges pending in such cases (those offenses punishable by imprisonment for a term of over one year), to not be held to answer to said felony charges unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, and further being aware that all such felony charges in the instant case have heretofore properly been returned by the indictment of a grand jury, does hereby agree to reinstatement of such charges by recision of any order dismissing them or, alternatively, does hereby waive, in open court, prosecution by indictment and consents that the United States may proceed by information instead of by indictment with regard to any felony charges which may be dismissed in the instant case, pursuant to this plea agreement, and the defendant further agrees to waive the statute of limitations and any speedy trial claims on such charges.

Defendant's Initials ________

- (3) The United States may prosecute the defendant for any offenses set forth herein, if any, the prosecution of which in accordance with this agreement, the United States agrees to forego, and the defendant agrees to waive the statute of limitations and any speedy trial claims as to any such offenses.
- (4) The government may use against the defendant the defendant's own admissions and statements and the information and books, papers, documents, and objects that the defendant has furnished in the course of the defendant's cooperation with the government.
- (5) The defendant will not be permitted to withdraw the guilty pleas to those counts to which defendant hereby agrees to plead in the instant case but, in that event, defendant will be entitled to the sentencing limitations, if any, set forth in this plea agreement, with regard to those counts to which the defendant has pled; or in the alternative, at the option of the United States, the United States may move the Court to declare this entire plea agreement null and void.

12. Forfeiture of Assets

pursuant to any federal criminal, civil, and/or administrative forfeiture action. The defendant also hereby agrees that the forfeiture described herein is not excessive and, in any event, the defendant waives any constitutional claims that the defendant may have that the forfeiture constitutes an excessive fine.

The defendant admits and agrees that the conduct described in the Factual Basis below provides a sufficient factual and statutory basis for the forfeiture of the property sought by the government. Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 32.2(b)(1), the United States and the defendant request that at the time of accepting this plea agreement, the court make a determination that the government has established the requisite nexus between the property subject to forfeiture and the offense(s) to which defendant is pleading guilty and enter a preliminary order of forfeiture. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(b)(3), the defendant agrees that the preliminary order of forfeiture shall be final as to the defendant at the time it is entered, notwithstanding the requirement that it be made a part of the sentence and be included in the judgment.

The defendant agrees to forfeit all interests in the properties described above and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States. These steps include, but are not limited to, the surrender of title, the signing of a consent decree of forfeiture, and signing of any other documents necessary to effectuate such transfers.

defendant agrees to make a full and complete disclosure of all assets over which defendant exercises control and those which are held or controlled by a nominee. The defendant further agrees to be polygraphed on the issue of assets, if it is deemed necessary by the United States.

The defendant agrees that the United States is not limited to forfeiture of the property described above. If the United States determines that property of the defendant identified for forfeiture cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; has been substantially diminished in value; or has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty; then the United States shall, at its option, be entitled to forfeiture of any other property (substitute assets) of the defendant up to the value of any property described above. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to settle any disputes arising from application of this clause. The defendant agrees that forfeiture of substitute assets as authorized herein shall not be deemed an alteration of the defendant's sentence.

Forfeiture of the defendant's assets shall not be treated as satisfaction of any fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty this Court may impose upon the defendant in addition to forfeiture.

B. <u>Standard Terms and Conditions</u>

1. Restitution, Special Assessment and Fine

The defendant understands and agrees that the Court, in addition to or in lieu of any other penalty, shall order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense(s), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663A, for all offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § Defendant's Initials

3663A(c)(1) (limited to offenses committed on or after April 24, 1996); and the Court may order the defendant to make restitution to any victim of the offense(s), pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3663 (limited to offenses committed on or after November 1, 1987) or § 3579, including restitution as to all counts charged, whether or not the defendant enters a plea of guilty to such counts, and whether or not such counts are dismissed pursuant to this agreement. On each count to which a plea of guilty is entered, the Court shall impose a special assessment, to be payable to the Clerk's Office, United States District Court, and due on date of sentencing. The defendant understands that this agreement imposes no limitation as to fine.

2. Supervised Release

The defendant understands that the offense(s) to which the defendant is pleading provide(s) for imposition of a term of supervised release upon release from imprisonment, and that, if the defendant should violate the conditions of release, the defendant would be subject to a further term of imprisonment.

3. Sentencing Information

The United States reserves its right and obligation to report to the Court and the United States Probation Office all information concerning the background, character, and conduct of the defendant, to provide relevant factual information, including the totality of the defendant's criminal activities, if any, not limited to the count(s) to which defendant pleads, to respond to comments made by the defendant or defendant's counsel, and to correct any misstatements or inaccuracies. The United States further reserves its right to make any recommendations it deems appropriate regarding the disposition of this case, subject to any limitations set forth herein, if any, Defendant's Initials Own

10

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(d)(3) and Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(d)(2)(A)(ii), the defendant agrees to complete and submit, upon execution of this plea agreement, an affidavit reflecting the defendant's financial condition. The defendant further agrees, and by the execution of this plea agreement, authorizes the United States Attorney's Office to provide to, and obtain from, the United States Probation Office or any victim named in an order of restitution, or any other source, the financial affidavit, any of the defendant's federal, state, and local tax returns, bank records and any other financial information concerning the defendant, for the purpose of making any recommendations to the Court and for collecting any assessments, fines, restitution, or forfeiture ordered by the Court.

4. <u>Sentencing Recommendations</u>

It is understood by the parties that the Court is neither a party to nor bound by this agreement. The Court may accept or reject the agreement, or defer a decision until it has had an opportunity to consider the presentence report prepared by the United States Probation Office. The defendant understands and acknowledges that, although the parties are permitted to make recommendations and present arguments to the Court, the sentence will be determined solely by the Court, with the assistance of the United States Probation Office. Defendant further understands and acknowledges that any discussions between defendant or defendant's attorney and the attorney or other agents for the government regarding any recommendations by the government are not binding on the Court and that, should any recommendations be rejected, defendant will not be permitted to withdraw defendant's plea pursuant to this plea agreement. The government expressly reserves the right to support and defend Defendant's Initials

any decision that the Court may make with regard to the defendant's sentence, whether or not such decision is consistent with the government's recommendations contained herein.

5. Defendant's Waiver of Right to Appeal and Right to Collaterally Challenge the Sentence

The defendant agrees that this Court has jurisdiction and authority to impose any sentence up to the statutory maximum and expressly waives the right to appeal defendant's sentence or to challenge it collaterally on any ground, including the ground that the Court erred in determining the applicable guidelines range pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines, except (a) the ground that the sentence exceeds the defendant's applicable guidelines range as determined by the Court pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines; (b) the ground that the sentence exceeds the statutory maximum penalty; or (c) the ground that the sentence violates the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution; provided, however, that if the government exercises its right to appeal the sentence imposed, as authorized by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742(b), then the defendant is released from his waiver and may appeal the sentence as authorized by Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742(a).

Middle District of Florida Agreement

It is further understood that this agreement is limited to the Office of the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Florida and cannot bind other federal, state, or local prosecuting authorities, although this office will bring defendant's cooperation, if any, to the attention of other prosecuting officers or others, if requested.

7. <u>Filing of Agreement</u>

This agreement shall be presented to the Court, in open court or <u>in</u>

<u>camera</u>, in whole or in part, upon a showing of good cause, and filed in this cause, at the time of defendant's entry of a plea of guilty pursuant hereto.

8. Voluntariness

The defendant acknowledges that defendant is entering into this agreement and is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily without reliance upon any discussions between the attorney for the government and the defendant and defendant's attorney and without promise of benefit of any kind (other than the concessions contained herein), and without threats, force, intimidation, or coercion of any kind. The defendant further acknowledges defendant's understanding of the nature of the offense or offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and the elements thereof, including the penalties provided by law, and defendant's complete satisfaction with the representation and advice received from defendant's undersigned counsel (if any). The defendant also understands that defendant has the right to plead not guilty or to persist in that plea if it has already been made, and that defendant has the right to be tried by a jury with the assistance of counsel, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against defendant, the right against compulsory self-incrimination, and the right to compulsory process for the attendance of witnesses to testify in defendant's defense; but, by pleading guilty, defendant waives or gives up those rights and there will be no trial. The defendant further understands that if defendant pleads guilty, the Court may ask defendant questions about the offense or offenses to which defendant pleaded, and if defendant answers those questions under oath, on the record, and in Defendant's Initials 13

the presence of counsel (if any), defendant's answers may later be used against defendant in a prosecution for perjury or false statement. The defendant also understands that defendant will be adjudicated guilty of the offenses to which defendant has pleaded and, if any of such offenses are felonies, may thereby be deprived of certain rights, such as the right to vote, to hold public office, to serve on a jury, or to have possession of firearms.

9. Factual Basis

Defendant is pleading guilty because defendant is in fact guilty. The defendant certifies that defendant does hereby admit that the facts set forth below as to the defendant are true, and were this case to go to trial, the United States would be able to prove those specific facts and others beyond a reasonable doubt.

At all times material to the Information filed herewith:

The Medicaid Program

a. The Medicaid program, as established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, authorized Federal grants to States for medical assistance to low-income persons who are age 65 and over, blind, disabled, or members of families with dependent children or qualified pregnant women or children (herein referred to as "Medicaid beneficiaries" or "Medicaid recipients"). The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS"), previously known as the Health Care Finance Administration, or HCFA, was an agency of the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS"), and was the federal government body responsible for the administration of the Medicaid program. CMS, in turn, authorized each state to establish a state agency to oversee the Medicaid program.

14

Defendant's Initia	als GN
--------------------	--------

- b. The Florida Medicaid Program was authorized by Chapter 409, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 59G, Florida Administrative Code. Florida further established AHCA as the single state agency authorized to administer the Florida Medicaid program.
- c. It was necessary for the states electing to participate in the Medicaid program to comply with the requirements imposed by the Social Security Act and regulations of the Secretary of HHS. 42 C.F.R. § 430.1.
- d. The federal government reimbursed the states for a portion of the states' Medicaid expenditures based on a formula tied to the per capita income in each state. The federal share of Medicaid expenditures (otherwise referred to as "federal financial participation" or "FFP"), varied from a minimum of 50% to as much as 83% of a state's total Medicaid expenditures. 42 U.S.C. § 139d(b); 42 C.F. R. § 433.10. In Florida, the FFP equaled approximately 59% of the state's total Medicaid expenditures.
- e. Certain health care practitioners, healthcare facilities, or health care plans that met the conditions of participation and eligibility requirements and that were enrolled in Medicaid could provide, and be reimbursed for rendering, Medicaid-covered services to Medicaid beneficiaries.
- f. There were several ways in which reimbursement was made to health care providers, of which capitation reimbursement was one. Capitation reimbursement applied to health maintenance organizations ("HMOs") and certain other providers. Said HMOs and providers were paid a fixed amount each month for each beneficiary or member (per capita) enrolled to receive services from that HMO or provider.

Defendant's Initials Lw

<u>Background</u>

- g. Generally, through its subsidiaries, Parent Plans, Inc. (a pseudonym for an existing public company known to the defendant, referred to herein as "PARENT"), a legal entity created under Delaware law, operated as a provider of managed health care services, targeted to government-sponsored health care programs, focusing on Medicaid and Medicare.
- h. Among other business activities, PARENT provided Medicaid services in a number of states, including Florida. PARENT was paid independently by each state's Medicaid program to provide managed care services to Medicaid beneficiaries residing in that state.
- i. PARENT was one of the largest providers of managed care services in Florida, where it enrolled Medicaid patients into one of its two plans, SUBSIDIARY-1 (a pseudonym for an existing plan known to the defendant, referred to herein as "SUBSIDIARY-1") and SUBSIDIARY-2 (a pseudonym for an existing plan known to the defendant, referred to herein as "SUBSIDIARY-2"). Both SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 were wholly-owned subsidiaries of PARENT and legal entities created under Florida law. As noted above, AHCA was the agency charged with administering the Florida Medicaid program.
- j. To govern aspects of the provision of additional Florida Medicaid program services, that is, certain behavioral health care services, to Florida Medicaid beneficiaries, Florida Statute 409.912(4)(b) was enacted, effective June 7, 2002, which read, in pertinent part:

Defendant's Initials

To ensure unimpaired access to behavioral health care services by Medicaid recipients, all contracts issued pursuant to this paragraph shall require 80 percent of the capitation paid to the managed care plan, including health maintenance organizations, to be expended for the provision of behavioral health care services. In the event the managed care plan expends less than 80 percent of the capitation paid pursuant to this paragraph for the provision of behavioral health care services, the difference shall be returned to the agency.

- k. Thus, beginning in or about mid-2002, AHCA began covering the additional program services, that is, said certain behavioral health care services, via contracts which included provisions for the new services to be delivered to Florida Medicaid beneficiaries through a capitated arrangement.
- I. Thereafter, since in or about mid-2002, through its SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 plans, PARENT provided a variety of services to Florida Medicaid beneficiaries, including community behavioral health services (also sometimes referred to as "mental health services").
- m. Per the relevant contracts between AHCA and the PARENT entities SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2, SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 were paid on a flat or "capitated" rate for each beneficiary or member enrolled in one of the two health plans. The capitated rate varied depending on age, sex, geographic location, and other factors.
- n. Also per the relevant AHCA contracts, as said contracts related to providing said community behavioral health services in accordance with Florida law, SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 were allowed to retain 20% of the related premiums received from AHCA to cover the entities' administrative expenses and

Defendant's Initials _____

overhead. As to the remaining 80%, said AHCA contracts and Florida law required that any funds not expended or paid directly or indirectly to community behavioral health services providers solely for the provision of the services had to be returned to the state (AHCA contracts including such 80/20 provisions are referred to herein as "80/20" contracts").

The AHCA 80/20 contracts therefore included language identical. 0. or substantially similar, to the following:

Community Behavioral Health Services Annual 80/20 Expenditure Report.

- 1. By April 1 of each year, Health Plans shall provide a breakdown of expenditures related to the provision of community behavioral health services, using the spreadsheet template provided by the Agency (see Section XII, Reporting Requirements). In accordance with Section 409.912, F.S., eighty percent (80%) of the Capitation Rate paid to the Health Plan by the Agency shall be expended for the provision of community behavioral health services. In the event the Health Plan expends less than eighty percent (80%) of the Capitation Rate, the Health Plan shall return the difference to the Agency no later than May 1 of each year.
 - a. For reporting purposes in accordance with this Section, 'community behavioral health services' are defined as those services that the Health Plan is required to provide as listed in the Community Mental Health Services Coverage and Limitations Handbook and the Mental Health Targeted Case Management Coverage and Limitations handbook.
 - b. For reporting purposes in accordance with the Section 'expended' means the total amount, in dollars, paid directly or indirectly to community behavioral health services providers solely for the



provision of community behavioral health services, not including administrative expenses or overhead of the plan. If the report indicates that a portion of the capitation payment is to be returned to the Agency, the Health Plan shall submit a check for that amount with the Behavioral Health Services Annual 80/20 Expenditure Report that the Health Plan provides to the Agency."

- To facilitate the required reporting of expenditures relating to the p. provision of said community behavioral health care services, AHCA provided each participating health plan in Florida, including SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2, with a worksheet titled Financial Worksheet For Behavioral Healthcare, or other similar title (such worksheet is referred to herein as "AHCA Behavioral Healthcare Worksheet"), that was organized in a manner to calculate and present to AHCA the amount of refund, if any, due AHCA under the relevant 80/20 contracts.
- Said AHCA Behavioral Healthcare Worksheet required, in part, q. each participating health plan, including SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2, to provide AHCA with the plan's true and correct expenditure information relating to the plan's provision of behavioral health care services, defined as those services that the plan was required to provide per the Community Mental Health Services Coverage and Limitations Handbook and the Mental Health Targeted Case Management Coverage and Limitations handbook.

The Heath Care Fraud Conspiracy

- r. To fraudulently reduce PARENT's contractual payback obligations to AHCA under the 80/20 contracts, and thereby correspondingly benefit PARENT through an increase in profits, PARENT, acting through its officers and employees, falsely and fraudulently inflated expenditure information included within AHCA Behavioral Healthcare Worksheets for calendar years mid-2002 through 2006 for the SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 plans through various acts and strategies including, but not limited to:
 - i. falsely and fraudulently including expenses in the relevant AHCA Behavioral Healthcare Worksheets for PARENT plans SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 that were not expenses incurred by the plans in providing the required community behavioral health services as defined and listed in the Community Mental Health Services Coverage and Limitations Handbook and the Mental Health Targeted Case Management Coverage and Limitations handbook;
 - ii. creating a wholly-owned entity named SUBSIDIARY-3 (a pseudonym for an existing entity), and then using said entity to conceal and falsely and fraudulently inflate the SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 plans' true and actual expenses incurred in providing the required community behavioral health services; and
 - iii. submitting false and fraudulent AHCA Behavioral Healthcare Worksheets to AHCA.
- s. Further, to conceal PARENT's false and fraudulent reporting of expenditure information to AHCA, PARENT, through its officers and employees, also falsely and fraudulently provided certified Medicaid behavioral health encounter data to AHCA.

Defendant's Initials _____

- t. PARENT officers and employees engaged in meetings and other conduct in a concerted and organized effort to conceal and cover-up the false and fraudulent nature of PARENT's various expenditure information and encounter data submissions to AHCA.
- u. The defendant's participation in the conspiracy described above began in or about early 2004. The defendant engaged in a number of conversations and acts in furtherance of the conspiracy including, but not limited to, creating, at the direction of one or more PARENT officers, a set of falsely and fraudulently inflated certified Medicaid behavioral health encounter data for PARENT plans SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 for calendar year 2006, knowing that the data was going to be provided to AHCA by the plans.
- v. In engaging in the false and fraudulent activity set forth herein in paragraphs B.9.r. through B.9.u., the PARENT officers and employees, including the defendant, were acting within the scope of their duties and authorities at PARENT to benefit PARENT at the expense of the Florida Medicaid program and its beneficiaries.
- w. As a result of the conspiracy set forth above, for the calendar years mid-2002 through 2006, the PARENT plans SUBSIDIARY-1 and SUBSIDIARY-2 have falsely and fraudulently reduced their contractual payback obligations to AHCA under the 80/20 contracts in excess of \$20 million.

Entire Agreement 10.

This plea agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the government and the defendant with respect to the aforementioned guilty plea and no other promises, agreements, or representations exist or have been made to the defendant or defendant's attorney with regard to such guilty plea.

Certification 11.

The defendant and defendant's counsel certify that this plea agreement has been read in its entirety by (or has been read to) the defendant and that defendant fully understands its terms.

DATED this 19 day of October FED

ROBERT E. O'NEILL United States Attorney

By:

Robert T. Monk

Deputy Chief, General Crimes Section

Assistant United States Attorney

Gregory West Defendant

Dale R. Sisco Attorney for Defendant Jay G. Trezevant

Assistant United States Attorney

Anthony/E. Porcelli

Assistant United States Attorney